



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/003,712	10/29/2001	Anthony Lionel Creed	50R4793	2617	
75	90 06/21/2004		EXAMI	NER	
Rogitz & Asso	ociates		KOSTAK, V	/ICTOR R	
Suite 3120 750 B Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	_
San Diego, CA 92101			2614	<i>∽</i>	
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

R

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/003,712	CREED ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Victor R. Kostak	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
,	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE and the of this communication, even if timely filed time 2004. action is non-final.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). , may reduce any				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-21 is/are pending in the appliance 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraws 5) ☐ Claim(s) 14-21 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the ledge of the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/003,712

Art Unit; 2614

1. Applicant's arguments with regard to amended claims 1 and 6 filed on 06/02/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The following rejections therefore apply.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-11 and 13 are now rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garr et al. (of record).

Reviewing Garr (noting particularly Figs. 1 and 2), he configures multiple devices based on dedicated sets of control codes (e.g. col. 3 lines 9-18), the devices including (but not limited to) a television 20, a VCR 16, and a cable decoder 12 (e.g. col. 4 lines 7-11), a VCR shown connected to a television in Figs. 1 and 2. The video tape (which is portable) with the device codes is engageable with the VCR and with the TV 20 through the VCR, as shown in Fig. 1, (the embodiment shown in Fig. 2 having the control codes sent to separate decoder 26 which is engageable with the devices wirelessly, the decoder being portable, i.e. detachable and removable). Garr also points out that the peripheral devices can be engaged with the decoder 26 directly and individually (col. 5 lines 20-24). The respective devices receive the control codes corresponding to set-up instructions from the tape or decoder 26, and the instructions are displayed on the TV (e.g. col. 6 lines 37-43).

Addressing amended claim 6, it would have been clearly obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to verify that the instructions are followed and if not, repeating the instructions, for the

Application/Control Number: 10/003,712

Art Unit: 2614

;

clear purpose of ensuring that the device in question will operate properly, which is the purpose of programming it. Surely when an error in procedure occurs, it would be clearly verified by the viewer not being able to use the device, or even to proceed to a next step, so the setting up will either have to be restarted or stepped back to some previous stage, or the device will not be operable. Such is in fact suggested by Garr as he discusses inputting manufacturer's model numbers and entering such numbers into the remote as well as using a menu which is typically displayed to provide guidance (col. 6 lines 37-49), thereby keeping the viewer apprised of the set-up progress, thereby meeting claims 6, 7 and 9.

Regarding claims 10 and 11, the claimed second peripheral device reads on the cable receiver 12, and the set-up instructions are also displayed on the TV for informing the viewer (as are the instructions for all of the appliances).

As for claim 13, the control code data (set-up data) can be obtained through the television signal that is received through the cable network (col. 8 lines 59-63).

3. Claims 1-5 and 12 are now rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garr et al. in view of Singkornrat et al.

As was pointed out in the last Office action, Garr points out that his invention is not limited to a VCR tape medium (col. 8 lines 56-59), thereby giving explicit suggestion to one of ordinary skill in the art that any suitable medium could be used to transfer set-up code data, the decoder 26 being another explicitly disclosed alternative medium.

Singkornrat discloses the benefit of using a flash memory for programming (setting up) devices (game cartridges), such as cutting down on loading time (col. 2 lines 8-20). In view of

Application/Control Number: 10/003,712

Art Unit: 2614

ر

this explicit benefit in programming devices and in view of the open-ended allowance by Garr, it would accordingly have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use any suitable medium, such as a flash memory, in the system of Garr, for providing set-up instructions to multiple peripheral devices, thereby meeting claims 1, 3 and 12.

Applicant argues that there was no suggestion given in the prior art, but the suggestion was explicitly given by Garr as explained above, repeated from the last Office action (an even more so given the allowances, benefits, and field of endeavor of Kamieniecki, now antedated). Moreover, in response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Garr explicitly gives an open-ended allowance, and Singkornrat discloses benefits of flash memories.

As for claim 4, the set-up procedure can be dynamically carried out by using the type or device model number (e.g. col. 6 lines 9-15).

As for claim 5, the TV and VCR are connected to a cable network by unit 12.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 4. disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,712

Art Unit; 2614

۲

- 5. Claims 14-21 appear allowable over the prior art.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor R. Kostak whose telephone number is 703 305-4374. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on 703 305-4795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/003,712

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 308-HELP.

Victor R. Kostak Primary Examiner Art Unit 2614

1. Ais

VRK